Eric Acheampong 2nd year master student, Polytechnic Institute Far Eastern Federal University, Russia, Vladivostok e-mail: achiampong.er@students.dvfu.ru

Scientific adviser: Uvarova T.E., Ph.D., professor, Department Marine Arctic Technologies Far Eastern Federal University Russia, Vladivostok

ICE ABRASION DATA AT LAOBORATORY AND FIELD EXPERIMENT

Abstract: For the last decades, the performance of concrete structures under severe marine environmental conditions has gained considerable attention. There are still a number of unknown factors related to design and material selection of concrete structures exposed to ice abrasion. The amounts of published data on this subject are relatively few and it is the aim of this document to collect and present some of these in terms of abrasion rates/depths, together with the material parameters of both ice and concrete. The objective is to identify the abrasion data and the differing exposure conditions as objectively as possible without going detailed into any models, in order to draw cross over conclusions with respect to wear rates and possible materials effects and to prepare for a continued study on the subject. The fundamental mechanism behind the ice abrasion of a concrete structure is more complex than barely the force of friction between moving ice and concrete. Field investigations and laboratory tests suggest that the observed abrasion is a result of the combination of environmental causes together with the ice impact forces **Key words:** Ice abrasion, Concrete, Laboratory, Field, Experiment.

The sea ice properties range from sheet ice to unconsolidated pressure ridges to multi-year consolidated floes and ridges. The ice exerts its force in a variety of different modes, depending on the structure's geometry and the ice parameters involved. Failure modes differ between crushing, flexure, buckling and shear. The overall force is limited by the driving force of the ice sheet behind the largest feature that can hit the structure without failing. It is observed that larger contact areas fail at lower unit forces, whereas smaller faces fail at higher unit forces due to confinement and homogeneity of the ice. Local ice forces are limited only by the crushing strength of the ice and might be increased by a factor of 3 or more compared to the uniaxial strength, due to confinement. The effective strength is also dependent on temperature, salinity, crystal orientation and strain rate. It is generally accepted that these high local unit forces will occur only over limited areas and they will decrease significantly as contact area increases. The ice movement, caused by wind, currents and thermal expansion/contraction are the fundamental driving forces behind the phenomenon of ice abrasion. In rivers, lakes and oceans where periodic ice floes occur, concrete structures such as e.g. bridge piers, guide walls, docks, lighthouses etc. experience damage at or near the waterline due to impact with ice floes. Moving ice has, in extreme cases, been known to remove all of the concrete cover at or near the waterline for marine structures.

Author	Abrasion/	Material Parameters	Exposure	Exposure	Observation	Ice conditions
	Abrasion			(lab/field)		
	rates					
Huovinen	22-39 mm	At water level: $fc = 35-46$	Combination of	Lighthouses	Largest	
Field (1990)	after 22-24	Mpa 1,5m above WL: fc =	freezethaw cycles and	in the Gulf of	abrasion rate	
	years (mean,	65-80 MPa	moving ice	Bothnia	observed 0,1-	
	all faces)				0,3 m below	
					water level	
Huovinen	> 25 mm 2,5 -	LWA, fc=41-42 MPa	50 freezethaw cycles	Lab, abrasion	No ice.	
Lab (1990)	11 mm 3,2 - 7	ND1, fc=68-98 MPa ND2,	and 10 min in abrasion	machine		
	mm	fc=76-81 Mpa, blast	machine	(rotating		
		furnace slag cement		cutter)		
Malhotra	No visible	LWA: fc = 37-45 MPa ND:	"Very severe	12 panels	No description	
Field (1996)	abrasion	fc = 42-56 MPa Steel	exposure, included	mounted in a	of the ice	
	observed after	Fibers (SF): 50kg/m3 w/c	freeze-thaw cycles, ice	dock at	conditions.	
	7 years of	ratio: 0,37- 0,42	abrasion, ice impact,	Nanisivik	Test panels	
	exposure.		and sea water attack.	(73° North),	were in good	
					to excellent	

				Baffin Island,	conditions	
				Canada	after 7 years of	
					exposure.	
					Local	
					corrosion of	
					the steel fibers.	
Ianson Field	Abrasion	ND (rounded shape) fc =	Location in Baltic Sea	Field study of	Abrasion	sea ice block was 80
(1988)	depths: 0-140	40 MPa Cement: 300-400	with low salinity,	More than 30	depths	mm wide, 50 to 100
	mm Abrasion	kg/m3 Additives: Air-	hence strong ice	light houses	increased	mm high and 700
	rates: 0,2-7,0	entraining agent (after	compared to Arctic	examined in	further north	mm long. a
	mm/year	1965)	seas (first year ice	1983-84	(more severe	temperature of -
					ice	20°C with an ice
					conditions).	pressure of 1 MPa
					No abrasion in	and an ice speed of
					areas where	50 mm/sec.
					the level ice	
					thickness	

					never
					exceeded 0.3m
Itoh, Lab	Abrasion rate	ND, fc = 57 Mpa LWA, fc	Ice velocity: 5 cm/sec	Completely	Abrasion rate
(1988,	(steady state):	= 70, 57, 35 Mpa Dmax =	Ice temp: -20 °C	exposed	of concrete
1994)	0,05 mm/km	25 mm	Contact pressure: 1	aggregates	due to sea ice
	for ice		MPa	made by	is mainly
	parameters			cutting the top	determined by
	given under			surface by 6-	the contact
	"exposure"			10 mm	pressure and
					the ice
					temperature.
Hanada Lab	Abrasion	N.A.	Sea ice: 3-5 ppt salt Ice		Study of ice
(1996)	depth: r v S S		velocity: 5 cm/sec Ice		abrasion rates
	$= \cdot \sigma \cdot L$		temp: -10°C Contact		of different
	Assume: r S =		pressure: 1 MPa		aggregate
	$0.0178 \sigma v = 1$				stones and a
	MPa L = 1000				single concrete
	km Abrasion				sample.

	depth: = 17.8					
	mm					
Sandwell	Approx. 10	fc = 90 MPa w/c = 0,25 Fly	Gulf of St Laurent/	Confederati	Based on	
(2003) [1,p.	mm estimated	ash + silica fume High	Northumberla nd	on bridge	photos in	
6]	by size of	Performance Concrete	strait/Canada	piers	Sandwell	
	exposed	(HPC) Design Lifetime:			project	
	coarse	100 years			facilities	
	aggregates				design report	
	(app.7 years				for Sakhalin II,	
	after opening				Phase II	
	of bridge)					
Fiorio Lab	Mean	fc = 24.8 MPa w/c = 0.6	Contact pressure	Lab,	Laboratory	Diameter: 60 mm,
(2005)	abrasion rate:	Portland CEM I 42.5	ice/concrete: 0.25 –	Abrasion/	grown S2	Height: 96 mm.
	2 mm/km	Aggregate: Fine sand (0.2	0.80 MPa	friction tests	columnar	Contact pressure
		– 0.6 mm), coarse sand (3		by a shearbox	freshwater ice	ice/concrete: 0.25 –
		– 5 mm)		machine	(Dgrain = 8	0.80 MPa.
					mm)	

			Ambient
			temperature: - 10 °C
			(+/- 0.5 °C).
			Sliding velocity:
			1.67x10-6 –
			1.67x10-4 m/s

Summary of ice abrasion data from various laboratory and field experiments, listing key parameters with emphasis on exposure conditions and concrete material parameters. Ice conditions are also presented for the experiments where these are available. **Discussions and conclusions**

Itoh et al. (1988 & 94)

An Experimental Study on Abrasion of Concrete Due to Sea Ice/ Estimation Method for Abrasion of Concrete Structures Due to Sea Ice Movement [2, p. 8]

• With the given test conditions it seems that the abrasion rate depends neither on the compressive strength of the concrete nor the kind of concrete aggregate.

• It was suggested that the abrasion rate of concrete is mainly determined by ice temperature and contact pressure.

• Results of concrete abrasion due to sea ice movement. The study concluded that failure modes of ice sheets are crushing with radial cracking. The abrasion depth of the concrete is mainly governed by the ice parameters; contact pressure, temperature, and sliding velocity.

Hanada et al. (1996)

Abrasion Rate of Various Materials Due to the Movement of Ice Sheets [3, p. 8]

• The observed ice abrasion rates of rock are proportional to the sliding distance of the ice.

• The abrasion rate differs significant depending on the type of rock

• Abrasion rates of sandstone and andesite are approximately 1/3 of that of concrete.

• The smaller the grain size, the smaller the abrasion rate (related to surface roughness).

• The higher uniaxial the compression strength of a rock, the higher the ice abrasion resistance.

Malhotra et al. (1996)

Manufacture of Concrete Panels, and Their Performance in the Arctic Marine Environment [4, p. 8]

• It was concluded, after both svisual and micro structural examination that the concrete test panels after seven years of exposure in extreme exposure conditions were

in good to excellent conditions. It was observed some local corrosion of the steel fibers for the fiber-reinforced concrete panels.

Janson (1989)

Field Investigation of Ice Impact on Lightweight Aggregate Concrete [5, p. 9].

• It is assumed that the ice concentration needs to be very high in order for abrasion to occur, as this gives a sufficient high pressure between the ice and the structure. This in addition to the ice thickness and ice strength are considered to be important parameters when evaluating abrasion of concrete by sea ice movement.

Huovinen (1990)

Abrasion of Concrete by Ice in Arctic Sea Structures [6, p. 9].

• The most important mechanical factor of the concrete with respect to resistance against ice abrasion is the compressive strength, which should be at least 70 MPa to secure a good resistance against abrasion.

• The concretes with water/cement ratio no higher than 0.30-0.35 showed a good resistance against abrasion.

• Normal weight concretes containing silica fume and blast furnace slag showed both a higher strength and a higher abrasion resistance than lightweight concrete with blast furnace slag.

• Increasing the maximum size of the aggregates also contribute to an increase in the abrasion resistance of concrete.

• The local ice loads acting on protruding aggregate stones are considerably greater than the uniaxial compressive strength of the ice.

Fiona (2005)

Wear characterization and degradation mechanisms of a concrete surface under ice friction [7, p. 10].

• Higher Abrasion rate of 20 mm/km than what is observed in other comparable studies be caused by the use of small sized aggregates in the micro concrete.

Discussions

In the majority of the ice abrasion studies presented in this overview, the significance of the ice conditions is emphasized, with ice temperature and contact pressure as the two most essential. Several of the tests show little or no difference of the wear resistance for different concrete qualities. Exceptions are Hanada (rock) and Huovinen (concrete) who both concluded that the ice abrasion resistance was improved by increasing the material compressive strength.

Conclusion

• The phenomenon of concrete abrasion due to sea ice movement is complex, involving different mechanisms and parameters. From the previous studies on the subject there have been somewhat scattered conclusions, and various methods of estimating the rate of abrasion have been proposed. In general it seems that:

• The rate of abrasion increases with increasing ice contact pressure and decreasing ice temperature. Ice sliding cause more abrasion than ice crushing.

• The ice abrasion is reduced with increasing material strength as observed in laboratory tests on rock and field tests combined with modelling of concrete.

• Using cement replacements like silica fume and blast furnace slag has shown a positive effect on the amount of abrasion.

References:

1. Sandwell (B. Maddock) Technical Memo TM 107 Sakhalin II, Phase II Project Facilities Design (2002).

2. Itoh, Y., Yoshida, A., Tsuchiya. M., Katoh, K., Sasaki, K., and Saeki, H. (1988) An Experimental Study on Abrasion of Concrete Due to Sea Ice. Presented at the 20th Annual Offshore Technology Conference in Houston, Texas, May 2-5, 1988. (OTC 5687). Pp. 61-68.

3. Hanada, M., Ujihira, M., Hara, F. and Saeki, H. Abrasion Rate of Various Materials Due to the Movement of Ice Sheets. Proceedings of the Sixth (1996) // International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, Los Angeles, USA May 26-31. 1996.

4. Malhotra V.M., Zhang M.H., Sarkar S.L., (1996) Manufacture of concrete test panels, and their performance in the arctic Marine Environment, 3rd CANMET //ACI Int.Conf.Perf. of Concr. Marine Environm., Spec. Vol Odd E. Gjørv Symposium. Ed. P.K. Mehta. Pp. 55-81.

5. Janson J.E. Report No. 3, Results from the winter season 1988-1989, Conclusion after the three winters 1986 - 1989. Joint Industry Study, Field Investigation of Ice Impact on Lightweight Aggregate Concrete, VBB.

6. Huovinen S. Abrasion of concrete by ice in arctic sea structures. VTT Publications 62, (Doctoral thesis), Espoo, 1990. 110 p.

7. Fiorio B. Wear characterization and degradation mechanisms of a concrete surface under ice friction // Construction and Building Materials. 2005. Volume 19. Issue 5. Pp. 366-375.